

To the memory of Claude Itzykson

TWO-DIMENSIONAL ONE-COMPONENT PLASMA IN A QUADRUPOLEAR FIELD

P.J. FORRESTER* and B. JANCOVICI**

Department of Mathematics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

The classical two-dimensional one-component plasma is an exactly solvable model, at some special temperature, even when the one-body potential acting on the particles has a quadrupolar term. As a supplement to a recent work of Di Francesco, Gaudin, Itzykson, and Lesage [*Int. J. Mod. Phys. A***9**, 4257 (1994)] about an N -particle system (N large but finite), a macroscopic argument is given for confirming that the particles form an elliptical blob, the analogy between the classical plasma and a quantum N -fermion system in a magnetic field is used for the microscopic approach, and a microscopic calculation of the surface charge-surface charge correlation function is performed; an expected universal form is shown to be realized by this correlation function.

LP THE Orsay 95/36

May 1995

* E-mail : matpjf@maths.mu.oz.au

** Permanent address : Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies, Université de Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France (Laboratoire associé au Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique). E-mail : janco@stat.th.u-psud.fr

1. Introduction

In a recent paper published in this journal¹, Di Francesco, Gaudin, Itzykson, and Lesage have studied a classical two-dimensional one-component plasma submitted to a quadrupolar electric field. The present paper is a supplement to the work of these authors.

The model under consideration consists of N classical particles of charge e immersed in a uniform neutralizing background. The particles interact through the two-dimensional Coulomb potential $-(e^2/2\pi)\ln|z_i - z_j|$, where the position of the i -th particle is defined by the complex number z_i ; there are also background particle and background-background interactions. A dimensionless coupling constant is $\beta = e^2/2\pi k_B T$, where T is the temperature and k_B is Boltzmann's constant. For the special value $\beta = 2$, the model is exactly solvable in a variety of geometries²⁻⁵. A simple case is when the system is a disk; then the background generates a one-body potential of circular symmetry. However, Di Francesco *et al* have considered the more general case when the one-body potential $V(z, \bar{z})$ also has a quadrupolar component ; up to some additive constant,

$$\frac{V(z, \bar{z})}{k_B T} = z\bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}(\tanh \mu)(z^2 + \bar{z}^2). \quad (1.1)$$

With this choice, the model can still be solved for $\beta = 2$. Di Francesco *et al* have studied the density of the N -particle system in the large- N limit, and they found that the particles form a blob of constant density $1/\pi$, having the shape of an ellipse with semi-axes $a = \sqrt{N}e^\mu$ and $b = \sqrt{N}e^{-\mu}$.

In the present paper, we revisit the N -particle system in a quadrupolar field. In Section 2, we retrieve the elliptic shape of the particle blob by a simple macroscopic argument. In Section 3, we revisit the microscopic approach by using the analogy with a system of independent fermions in a magnetic field. In Section 4, we study the correlations near the boundary of the blob.

2. Macroscopic equilibrium

The one-body potential (1.1) can be thought of as generated by a uniform background with the shape of a large ellipse. Indeed, if the background charge density is $-e/\pi$ and the

ellipse has semi-axes A and B such that $B/A = e^{-2\mu}$, a simple calculation⁶ shows that, at a point z inside the ellipse, this background generates an electric potential V/e given by (1.1), up to some additive constant (here $k_B T = e^2/4\pi$).

Let us now put N particles in, assuming $N \ll AB$ (this condition will ensure that the particles stay well inside the background). The particles will form a blob, and macroscopic electrostatics requires that the total electrostatic potential be a constant inside that conducting blob. Therefore, the density and shape of the blob should be such that it generates an electric potential $-V/e$, up to an additive constant. The same calculation as above says that the blob which achieves that has the shape of an ellipse, with semi-axes a and b such that $b/a = e^{-2\mu}$, and a constant particle density $N/\pi ab = 1/\pi$. Thus, one retrieves the result of Di Francesco *et al*: $a = \sqrt{N}e^\mu$, $b = \sqrt{N}e^{-\mu}$.

3. Magnetic picture

The Boltzmann factor of the one-component plasma is, up to a multiplicative constant,

$$\exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \left[-z_j \bar{z}_j + \frac{1}{2} (\tanh \mu) (z_j^2 + \bar{z}_j^2) \right] \right\} |\Delta|^2 \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\Delta = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & z_1 & z_1^2 \dots & z_1^{N-1} \\ 1 & z_2 & z_2^2 \dots & z_2^{N-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & z_N & z_N^2 \dots & z_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{i>j} (z_i - z_j).$$

Di Francesco *et al* have expressed this Boltzmann factor in terms of *orthogonal* functions. We now rephrase their work, in a way that we believe to be more transparent, using the magnetic language. Indeed, in the special case of circular symmetry ($\mu = 0$), (3.1) was introduced as a squared wavefunction of a quantum system : N independent fermions in a plane, interacting with a uniform magnetic field normal to the plane. Let us first review this picture⁷ for $\mu = 0$.

The quantum Hamiltonian for a particle of mass 1 and charge 1 in a magnetic field is $H = (1/2)(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A})^2$, where $\mathbf{p}$ is the canonical momentum and $\mathbf{A}$ the vector potential. For describing a uniform magnetic field normal to the plane (the value of which is chosen as

2), a possible gauge is $A_x = -y, A_y = x$ (x and y are Cartesian coordinates in the plane; $z = x + iy$). In terms of annihilation and creation operators for “left quanta”⁷

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{2}(x + iy + ip_x - p_y) = \frac{1}{2}(x + iy + \partial_x + i\partial_y) = \frac{1}{2}z + \partial_{\bar{z}} \quad , \\ a^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(x - iy - ip_x - p_y) = \frac{1}{2}(x - iy - \partial_x + i\partial_y) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{z} - \partial_z \quad , \end{aligned}$$

the Hamiltonian is^a

$$H = 2a^+a + 1.$$

For obtaining a complete set of commuting observables, we must also introduce annihilation and creation operators for “right quanta”

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \frac{1}{2}(x - iy + ip_x + p_y) = \frac{1}{2}(x - iy + \partial_x - i\partial_y) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{z} + \partial_z \quad , \\ b^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(x + iy - ip_x + p_y) = \frac{1}{2}(x + iy - \partial_x - i\partial_y) = \frac{1}{2}z - \partial_{\bar{z}} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The only non-vanishing commutators between these operators are

$$[a, a^+] = 1 \quad , \quad [b, b^+] = 1 \quad ,$$

and a^+a and b^+b do form a complete set of commuting observables. The wavefunctions ψ associated to the lowest Landau level obey $a\psi = 0$. They can be labeled by the eigenvalue ℓ of the angular momentum $L = b^+b - a^+a$ which, for the lowest Landau level, reduces to b^+b . Starting from ψ_0 defined by

$$a\psi_0 = 0 \quad , \quad b\psi_0 = 0 \quad ,$$

the normalized solution of which is

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z}} \quad ,$$

we can obtain the other states of the lowest Landau level by applying the creation operator b^+ , with the result

$$\psi_\ell = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell!}} (b^+)^{\ell} \psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \ell!}} z^{\ell} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z}} \quad . \quad (3.2)$$

^a It is convenient here to use sign conventions different from the ones in ref. 7.

For $\mu = 0$, the Boltzmann factor (3.1) is, up to a multiplicative factor, the squared Slater determinant built with the orthogonal wavefunctions $\psi_\ell, 0 \leq \ell \leq N - 1$.

In the general case $\mu \neq 0$, the Boltzmann factor (3.1) can again be expressed as a squared Slater determinant, built with orthogonal wave functions, for the same magnetic problem as above. Now the normalized wavefunction ψ_0 has to be replaced by

$$\tilde{\psi}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \cosh \mu}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z} + \frac{1}{2}(\tanh \mu)z^2} . \quad (3.3)$$

Since $\tilde{\psi}_0$ can be expanded in powers of $\tanh \mu$ as a linear combination of the previous functions (3.2), it is another lowest Landau level wavefunction, which obeys

$$a\tilde{\psi}_0 = 0 \quad .$$

The operators a and a^+ are unchanged. However, for generating the other mutually orthogonal functions which enter the Slater determinant, we must replace b and b^+ by $\tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{b}^+$ such that

$$\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}_0 = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

and such that the commutation relations be preserved. This is easily achieved by a Bogolyubov transformation, i.e. writing for $\tilde{b}$ a linear combination of b and b^+ , the coefficients of which are fixed (up to an irrelevant phase) by the conditions (3.4) and $[\tilde{b}, \tilde{b}^+] = 1$. One finds

$$\tilde{b}^+ = (\cosh \mu)b^+ - (\sinh \mu)b = (\cosh \mu)\left(\frac{1}{2}z - \partial_{\bar{z}}\right) - (\sinh \mu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{z} + \partial_z\right) \quad (3.5)$$

and the orthogonal wave functions are

$$\tilde{\psi}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(\tilde{b}^+)^n \tilde{\psi}_0. \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.3) and (3.5) in (3.6), one readily sees that the $\tilde{\psi}_n$ are of the form

$$\tilde{\psi}_n = P_n(z) e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z} + \frac{1}{2}(\tanh \mu)z^2}$$

where $P_n(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n defined by the recurrence relation

$$P_{n+1}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \left[\frac{1}{\cosh \mu} z P_n(z) - (\sinh \mu) \frac{dP_n(z)}{dz} \right] \quad (3.7)$$

and $P_0(z) = (\pi \cosh \mu)^{-1/2}$. A simple change of variable relates (3.7) to the recurrence relation⁸ obeyed by the Hermite polynomials^b $H_n(x)$,

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - \frac{dH_n(x)}{dx}$$

and $H_0(x) = 1$, and one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \tanh \mu \right)^{n/2} (\pi \cosh \mu)^{-1/2} H_n\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\sinh 2\mu}}\right) \\ &= (\pi n!)^{-1/2} (\cosh \mu)^{-n-\frac{1}{2}} z^n + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by making linear combinations of the columns in the determinant Δ of (3.1), one can replace each z^n by $(\pi n!)^{1/2} (\cosh \mu)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} P_n(z)$, and (3.1) becomes a constant times the squared modulus of a Slater determinant built with orthonormal wavefunctions. This is another derivation of the result obtained by Di Francesco *et al.*

4. Surface correlations

Thus, the density correlation functions associated to the Boltzmann factor (3.1) are the same ones as for N fermions occupying the orthonormal orbitals $\tilde{\psi}_n (n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1)$. All the n -point densities can be expressed in terms of the projector

$$\begin{aligned} K_N(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{\psi}_n(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\psi}_n(\mathbf{r}') \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} a(\mathbf{r}) \bar{a}(\mathbf{r}') \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{(\tanh \mu)^n}{(\cosh \mu) n!} 2^{-n} H_n\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\sinh 2\mu}}\right) H_n\left(\frac{\bar{z}'}{\sqrt{\sinh 2\mu}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$a(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z} + \frac{1}{2}(\tanh \mu)z^2} \quad .$$

In particular, the density at $\mathbf{r}$ is $K_N(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})$ and the two-point truncated density (correlation function) is

$$\rho_N^T(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -|K_N(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')|^2 \quad . \quad (4.2)$$

^b We define the Hermite polynomials as in ref.8, with a weight function $\exp(-x^2)$, while Di Francesco *et al* used $\exp(-x^2/2)$.

The particles form an elliptical blob. The correlations are expected to be long-ranged only near the boundary^{9,10} and we can define a surface density-surface density correlation by integrating the long-range part of $\rho_N^T(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ with respect to the components of $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ normal to the boundary of the blob. Our aim is to evaluate that correlation function for macroscopic distances.

It is appropriate to work with elliptic coordinates (ξ, η) related to the Cartesian coordinates (x, y) by

$$x + iy = [(2N - 1) \sinh 2\mu]^{1/2} \cosh(\xi + i\eta) \quad . \quad (4.3)$$

This choice ensures that the boundary ellipse (see Section 2) is one of the coordinate lines, $\xi = \xi_b$, where $e^{-2\xi_b} = \tanh \mu$, up to a negligible correction of order $1/N$ (using $2N - 1$ rather than $2N$ in the definition (4.3) will turn out to be convenient in the following). The rescaling factor of the conformal transformation (4.3) is

$$h(\xi, \eta) = \left| \frac{d(x + iy)}{d(\xi + i\eta)} \right| = [(2N - 1) \sinh 2\mu]^{1/2} |\sinh(\xi + i\eta)| \quad , \quad (4.4)$$

and the surface charge-surface charge correlation is

$$\langle \sigma(\eta) \sigma(\eta') \rangle^T = e^2 \int_0^\infty d\xi h(\xi, \eta) \int_0^\infty d\xi' h(\xi', \eta') \rho_N^{T(b)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \quad , \quad (4.5)$$

where $\rho_N^{T(b)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is the asymptotic form of $\rho_N^T(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ when $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$ is a macroscopic distance (it is non-vanishing only if $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ are near the boundary).

The surface charge-surface charge correlation is particularly interesting as its asymptotic form is expected to depend on the shape of the plasma, but to be otherwise universal (i.e. independent of the microscopic detail).¹⁰ For an ellipse-shaped plasma this form is¹¹

$$\frac{1}{2\pi k_B T} \langle \sigma(\eta) \sigma(\eta') \rangle^T \sim - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 |e^{i\eta} - e^{i\eta'}|^2 h(\xi_b, \eta) h(\xi_b, \eta')} \quad . \quad (4.6)$$

The exact result (4.2) therefore provides an opportunity to test (4.6).

To evaluate (4.5) and thus test (4.6), our major task is to compute $\rho_N^{T(b)}$. For our overall strategy in this calculation, we take guidance from the calculation of Choquard

*et al.*¹² who calculated $\rho_N^{T(b)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ in disk geometry. Also, we make use of the known¹³ large- n asymptotic expansion for the Hermite polynomial $H_n(x)$:

$$H_n(x) \sim \frac{2^{n/2-1} e^{[n+x^2-x(x^2-2n-1)^{1/2}]/2} n!}{\pi^{1/2} n^{(n+1)/2} \left(\frac{x^2}{2n+1} - 1\right)^{1/4}} \times \left[\frac{x}{(2n+1)^{1/2}} + \left(\frac{x^2}{2n+1} - 1\right)^{1/2} \right]^{n+1/2}. \quad (4.7)$$

We begin by using the integral representation⁸

$$H_n(x) = \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x + it)^n e^{-t^2} dt \quad (4.8)$$

twice in (4.1) to obtain

$$K_N(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{a(\mathbf{r})\bar{a}(\mathbf{r}')}{\pi \cosh \mu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_2 e^{-t_2^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 e^{-t_1^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{\{2(\tanh \mu)(Z + it_1)(\bar{Z}' + it_2)\}^n}{n!}, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$Z = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\sinh 2\mu}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Following Choquard *et al.*¹² we make use of the asymptotic approximation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{u^n}{n!} \sim \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \frac{u^N}{u - (N-1)} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{N-1}{(u - (N-1))^2}\right) \right] \quad (4.11)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} K_N(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &\sim \frac{4a(\mathbf{r})\bar{a}(\mathbf{r}')}{\pi \cosh \mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} \tanh \mu\right)^N \\ &\times \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \frac{2^{N-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_2 e^{-t_2^2} (\bar{Z}' + it_2)^{N-1} \frac{2^{N-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 e^{-t_1^2} (Z + it_1)^{N-1} \\ &\times \frac{(\bar{Z}' + it_2)(Z + it_1)}{2(\tanh \mu)(Z + it_1)(\bar{Z}' + it_2) - (N-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

(as in ref. 12, we can show that the terms ignored in going from (4.9) to (4.12) are $O(1/N)$ relative to the terms kept).

Consider the double integration in (4.12). Since N is large, by the method of steepest descent, the slowly varying factor on the last line can be replaced by its value at that

saddle point of each integration which maximizes the modulus of the integrand on the second line. Now, the saddle points of the integration over t_1 occur when

$$f'(t) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad f(t) = t^2 - (N-1) \ln(Z + it), \quad (4.13)$$

which gives

$$t_{\pm}(Z) = \frac{-Z \pm (Z^2 - 2N + 2)^{1/2}}{2i}$$

It can be shown that, for Z describing a point near the boundary ellipse, taking $t_+(Z)$ maximizes the modulus of the integrand (with $(Z^2 - 2N + 2)^{1/2}$ defined as the positive square root when Z is real and larger than $(2N - 2)^{1/2}$, and its continuation). Similarly, $t_+(\bar{Z}')$ is the saddle point to be chosen for the integral over t_2 . Taking the slowly varying factors outside the integral, with t_1 and t_2 so specified, we see from (4.8) that the remaining integrals are precisely the Hermite polynomials, and so

$$K_N(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \sim \frac{a(\mathbf{r})\bar{a}(\mathbf{r}')}{\pi \cosh \mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} \tanh \mu\right)^N \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \\ \times \frac{[\bar{Z}' + (\bar{Z}'^2 - 2N + 2)^{1/2}][Z + (Z^2 - 2N + 2)^{1/2}]H_{N-1}(\bar{Z}')H_{N-1}(Z)}{(\frac{1}{2} \tanh \mu)[\bar{Z}' + (\bar{Z}'^2 - 2N + 2)^{1/2}][Z + (Z^2 - 2N + 2)^{1/2}] - (N-1)} . \quad (4.14)$$

From (4.2), to compute $\rho_N^{T(b)}$, we seek the large- N behaviour of the squared modulus of (4.14), with $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ in the neighbourhood of the boundary ellipse. Let us consider the squared modulus of the product of the Hermite polynomials first. Since, from (4.3) and (4.10),

$$Z = \sqrt{2N-1} \cosh(\xi + i\eta),$$

(4.7) gives

$$|H_{N-1}(\bar{Z}')H_{N-1}(Z)|^2 = \frac{2^{2N-6}[(N-1)!]^4 e^{2(N-1)}}{\pi^2 (N-1)^{2N}} \frac{1}{|\sinh(\xi + i\eta)|} \frac{1}{|\sinh(\xi' + i\eta')|} \\ \times |e^{(N-\frac{1}{2})[e^{-(\xi+i\eta)} \cosh(\xi+i\eta)+\xi+i\eta]}|^2 |e^{(N-\frac{1}{2})[e^{-(\xi'+i\eta')} \cosh(\xi'+i\eta')+\xi'+i\eta']}|^2 . \quad (4.15)$$

Furthermore, since

$$Z + (Z^2 - 2N + 2)^{1/2} = (2N - 2)^{1/2} e^{\xi+i\eta} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) ,$$

the squared modulus of the remaining terms in the second line of (4.14) equals

$$\frac{4e^{2(\xi+\xi')}}{|e^{\xi+\xi'+i(\eta-\eta')}\tanh\mu-1|^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right). \quad (4.16)$$

We also need

$$\begin{aligned} |a(\mathbf{r})\bar{a}(\mathbf{r}')|^2 &= \exp \left[-(4N-2)(\sinh\mu)(e^{-\mu}\cosh^2\xi\cos^2\eta + e^\mu\sinh^2\xi\cos^2\eta) \right] \\ &\times \exp \left[-(4N-2)(\sinh\mu)(e^{-\mu}\cosh^2\xi'\cos^2\eta' + e^\mu\sinh^2\xi'\cos^2\eta') \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Substituting (4.17), (4.16), and (4.15) as appropriate in the squared modulus of (4.14), using Stirling's formula, and substituting the result in (4.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_N^{T(b)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &\sim -\frac{\tanh\mu}{8\pi^3(N-1)\cosh^2\mu} \frac{1}{|\sinh(\xi+i\eta)\sinh(\xi'+i\eta')|} \frac{e^{2(\xi+\xi')}}{|e^{\xi+\xi'+i(\eta-\eta')}\tanh\mu-1|^2} \\ &\times e^{(N-\frac{1}{2})[g_\mu(\xi,\eta)+g_\mu(\xi',\eta')]} \quad, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_\mu(\xi, \eta) &= \ln \tanh\mu + 1 - 4(\sinh\mu)(e^{-\mu}\cosh^2\xi\cos^2\eta \\ &+ e^\mu\sinh^2\xi\sin^2\eta) + e^{-2\xi}\cos 2\eta + 2\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Analogous to the behaviour of $\rho_N^{T(b)}$ for the disk,¹² we expect the last exponential in (4.18) to be strongly peaked for $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ in the neighbourhood of the boundary of the ellipse,

$$\xi = \xi_b \quad \text{where} \quad e^{-2\xi_b} = \tanh\mu. \quad (4.20)$$

This is indeed the case as the maximum of (4.19) occurs when $\xi = \xi_b$. Furthermore, $g_\mu(\xi_b, \eta) = 0$ is independent of η . Expansion around this point gives

$$(N - \frac{1}{2})g_\mu(\xi, \eta) \sim -2(\xi - \xi_b)^2[h(\xi_b, \eta)]^2.$$

Thus, after replacing ξ, ξ' by ξ_b in the prefactor of (4.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_N^{T(b)} &\sim -\frac{\tanh\mu}{8\pi^3(N-1)\cosh^2\mu} \frac{e^{4\xi_b}}{|\sinh(\xi_b+i\eta)\sinh(\xi_b+i\eta')|} \frac{1}{|e^{i\eta} - e^{i\eta'}|^2} \\ &\times e^{-2(\xi-\xi_b)^2[h(\xi_b, \eta)]^2} e^{-2(\xi'-\xi_b)^2[h(\xi_b, \eta')]^2} \quad. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

The task of computing $\rho_N^{T(b)}$ is now completed. To check (4.6), we substitute (4.21) in (4.5) and note that since the integrands are sharply peaked at $\xi, \xi' = \xi_b$, we can set $h(\xi, \eta) = h(\xi', \eta) = h(\xi_b, \eta)$ and remove these factors from the integrand. Computing the remaining Gaussian integrations, using (4.4) (where $2N-1$ can be approximated by $2N-2$) and (4.20) to simplify the resulting expression, and recalling that here $e^2/2\pi k_B T = 2$, we see that the predicted form (4.6) is indeed realized.

REFERENCES

1. P. Di Francesco, M. Gaudin, C. Itzykson, and F. Lesage, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A9**, 4257 (1994).
2. A. Alastuey and B. Jancovici, *J. Phys. (France)* **42**, 1 (1981).
3. J. Ginibre, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 440 (1965).
4. B. Jancovici, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 386 (1981).
5. F. Cornu, B. Jancovici, and L. Blum, *J. Stat. Phys.* **50**, 1221 (1988).
6. Ph. Choquard, B. Piller, and R. Rentsch, *J. Stat. Phys.* **46**, 599 (1987).
7. C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, and F. Laloë, *Mécanique Quantique* (Hermann, Paris, 1977).
8. I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic, New York, 1980).
9. B. Jancovici, *J. Stat. Phys.* **28**, 43 (1982).
10. B. Jancovici, *J. Stat. Phys.* **80**, 445 (1995).
11. Ph. Choquard, B. Piller, R. Rentsch, and P. Vieillefosse, *J. Stat. Phys.* **55**, 1185 (1989).
12. Ph. Choquard, B. Piller and R. Rentsch, *J. Stat. Phys.* **46**, 599 (1987).
13. N. Schwid, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **37**, 339 (1935).